

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/645,454	08/22/2003	Robert J. Letchford	208-6156CT	7976
20792 7	590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
KALEIGH, IN	27027		1731	
			1731	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comme	10/645,454	LETCHFORD,	ROBERT J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commondary of the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen unication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory min stutory period will apply and will expire in will, by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely. s communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file 2a) This action is FINAL.  3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina for allowance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to t	the merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	e withdrawn from considera		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held the correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been receit documents have been receit of the priority documents ha nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	ved.  ved in Application No  ve been received in this Nationa (a)).	al Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-03.	TO-948) F PTO/SB/08) 5) □ N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	TO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 904

Art Unit 1711

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. § 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. § 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 12-16 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-12 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,706,821. This is a double patenting rejection.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Serial No. 10/645,454

Art Unit 1711

doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,706,821. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the generic structure, polyfunctional acrylates and amine terminated polyolefins encompass the specific structures recited by the patented claims, with regard to those claims which are more narrow than the structures recited by the patented claims, the generic structure of the patented claims embrace the specifics of the application claims as evidenced by the specification.

Claims 4-6, 9, 11, 23, 26, 38, 42, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "molecular weight" where unqualified as to the type of molecular weight, e.g. number or weight average etc. molecular weight is unclear given that the various types of molecular weights may vary drastically.

The term "ethoxylated or propoxylated" makes no sense in the context of the claims given that the moiety  $R_4$  in the structure shown is derived from a material having at least two reactive moieties (including the unsaturated end unit which is not shown as being reacted in the structure) in that an ethoxylated or

Serial No. 10/645,454
Art Unit 1711

propoxylated polyoxyalkylene acrylate contains only a single reactive moiety, namely the unsaturated unit in the acrylate itself. If by ethoxylated applicant actually intends an ethylene glycol moiety, applicant's terminology is not that generally used in the art and furthermore a polyoxyalkylene acrylate already contains a number of oxyalkylene units and it would not be clear what would be meant by the term "ethoxylated" since many oxyethylene units already present.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial No. 10/645,454
Art Unit 1711

Claims 17-31, 58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Pohl (GB 2011913).

Pohl discloses the (polymerization) reaction product of diethylene glycol dimethacrylate and an amino terminated butadiene polymer in which the amino group is substituted by chain extenders and capping agents. See Example 23 in this regard as well as Example 22 which is utilized by Example 23.

Claims 32-40 and 43-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pohl, cited above.

Although the stoichiometry of the instant claims is not disclosed by Pohl, choice of specific reactant ratios would have been obvious to a practitioner having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in that it requires only routine experimentation to find the optimum or workable range of a result effective variable absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be

Art Unit 1711

reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

J. Mullis:cdc

October 7, 2004

Jeffrey Mullis Primery Examiner Art Unit 1711

C